

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virignia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/751,486 | 01/06/2004 | Yaron Nahum Mandel | | 3541 |
| 7590 04/20/2007 Yaron Nahum Mandel 14 Nottinghill Road | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | PATEL, NITIN | |
| Thornhill, ON L3T 4X9 CANADA | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2629 | |
| | | | | • |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 04/20/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Notice of About and and | 10/751,486 | MANDEL, YARON NAHUM | | | | |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Nitin Patel | 2629 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Meriod for reply (including a total extension of time of (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does it | dailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on | · | | | | |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114). | | | | | | |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). | | | | | | |
| (d) 🛮 No reply has been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated | | | | | | |
|), which is after the expiration of the statutory pe Allowance (PTOL-85). | eriod for payment of the issue fee (an | d publication fee) set in the Notice of | | | | |
| (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due. | | | | | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | | | | | | |
| (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no | t been received. | | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37). | ired by, and within the three-month p | period set in, the Notice of | | | | |
| (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply. | (with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran | smission dated), which is | | | | |
| (b) \(\subseteq \) No corrected drawings have been received. | | | | | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. | e attorney or agent of record, the assi | gnee of the entire interest, or all of | | | | |
| 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | attorney or agent (acting in a representation) | entative capacity under 37 CFR | | | | |
| The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim | | e the period for seeking court review | | | | |
| 7. The reason(s) below: | | | | | | |
| | | Vita- Patel | | | | |
| | | NITIN I. PATEL PRIMARY EXAMINER | | | | |
| | | Nitin Patel Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2629 | | | | |